Energy Management at Municipal WWTPs

Session 2 April 22, 2021

Ron Haynes, PE & WWTP Operator Terry Albrecht, PE, CEM Waste Reduction Partners



Energy Management Target



Reduce Energy use per Million gallons wastewater and potentially provide better treatment

Discover and use lower cost energy options



Introduction

Purpose – Increase awareness of energy use and potential for reducing plant operating expenses for wastewater operators

Remember our Drivers from Session 1:

- Budget considerations
- Water-Energy Nexus
- Importance of Energy Efficiency
- Continuous Improvement
- Municipal Sustainability Initiatives, ISO 14001

WWTP Energy Management Sequence

- 1. Organize an Energy Management Program
- 2. Discover your Plant Baseline Energy Use
- 3. Plant(s) Evaluation
- 4. Energy Savings Possibilities
- 5. Start with No-cost and Low-cost Items
- 6. Get involved in setting Priorities for Higher Cost Potentials
- 7. Be aware of Planning for capital improvement
- 8. Assist in Tracking and Reporting Results





<u>Agenda – Two Sessions</u> Energy Management Training

Session 1: Organize an Energy Management Program **Energy Vocabulary Literacy** Utility Billing – Understanding your billing Baseline Data & Tracking (at utility billing level) Benchmarking Plant Survey & Evaluations: Session 2: Common BMPs for Energy Management Renewables OWASA: Energy Management Case Example – Mary Tiger

Resources for Taking the Next Step





Energy Savings Possibilities

- Identifying ways to use less energy or reduce costs using lower cost energy
- Stay informed about energy management by reading, study, participating in continuing education relative to energy



Typical Energy Balance – 1 mgd plant

Source: WEF MoP 32, 2009

Energy Savings Possibilities

- Capital program or equipment replacement example replace electric motors with high Eff
- Process change change regular aeration to sequence batch reactor
- Operational change Use fewer units if hydraulic conditions allow
- Automation or controls Rely on ORP instead of DO for oxidation, Add process control
- Maintenance improvements Consider a rewinding program for motors
- Business measures train operators, make energy management a priority



Best Management Practices are available for reducing energy use and costs of operation

Energy Savings Categories

- Organizational Energy Management
- Treatment Process Energy Management
- Building Systems Energy Management
- Renewable Distributed Generation











Organizational Divert Flexible Use to Off-Peak Times

Plant Example: 40% of the electric bill could be monthly peak Demand charge (kW)

60% is for energy consumption in kilowatt hours (kWh) for the month.

The off-peak energy charge (\$/kWh) is 20% less during off-peak hours verse on-peak times.

Return clarifier or basin contents to head of plant during off-peak time so that increase pumping is at lower cost :

Saving potential: 20% of the pumping energy charges.



Organizational: Motor Management & Rewind Standards

- Establish rewind quality standards with vendors
- Vendors should follow ANSI/EASA standard AR100-2015 Recommended Practices
- strive to have zero to less than 0.5 percent efficiency losses for rewinds of large motor (=>50 HP),
- Vendor certified to Proven Efficiency Verification (PEV) program by Advanced Energy.org (National experts right in Raleigh!).

-Don't rewind less than ~ 50 HP

-Procure only NEMA Premium Efficiency and

-Consider Super Premium Efficiency (IE4) Induction Motors (1 -2 % efficiency gain over Premium Efficiency)



Organizational: Motor Operating Costs Examples





Organizational: Energy-Use Monitoring & Control on SCADA



- How are your monitoring current energy use on SCADA?
- Look for opportunities to manage energy use on SCADA monitored and controlled equipment.
- Energy kW Demand Management and kWh monitoring should be goal for SCADA



Evaluate some of the changes suggested in earlier sessions covering nitrification and phosphorus removal

Treatment: Aeration Upgrade with Duke Rebates

<u>Project</u>: Coarse to Fine Bubble Diffusers on Aeration Basin

Automate DO control with throttle control on primary blower

Project Cost: \$1.4 Million

Duke Energy Smart Saver "Custom" Incentive: \$340,000

<u>Savings</u>: 4 million kWh and 450 kW Annual Electric Cost Savings: \$280,000



Consider installing or using existing VFD to match process demand

Energy use with decreased speed for centrifugal pumps

Flow is proportional to the pump's speed but energy use is proportional to the cube root of the speed. This results in a reduction of approximately 15% energy use for a 5% reduction in flow

| $V_2 = V_1 x (R_2 / R_1)$ | volume | gallons or gallons per time |
|-----------------------------|--------|--|
| $H_2 = H_1 x (R_2 / R_1)^2$ | head | ft of water typical |
| $P_2 = P_1 x (R_2/R_1)^3$ | power | Horsepower (convert to kW, $1 \text{ hp} = 0.746 \text{ kW}$ |



| Assume H - ft | 50 | | Jackson | Crk Efflue | ent Pum | ps 2 units | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|
| whp = HQ / 3960 |) | | Pumps - 1 | 00 HP | From Desi | gn data > | | 6,000,000 gal per day | 24 hr | Design was appr | ox> | Permit - 5208 | 7.5 MGL gpm |
| Water Horsepower | | | | | | | | 15.8 hr/day at assu | imed WH calc pumpi | ing volume | | | |
| Eff WHP | ff WHP 80 Electric Rate \$/kw | | \$0.061 | | | NOTE: | For VFD change, horsepower is proportional to cube of speed | | | | | | |
| (G) - gal/min | 6336 | | | | | | | | volume is directly p | proportional to speed | . · | Gorman-Rupp | Pumps |
| | | | Assumed h | hr/yr | 5,761 | KW/H | 0.746 | | | | | Engineering Da | ata |
| | | | 2 pumps | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Motor Load | | 90% | | | | | | extra | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | gpm | hours | hours/yr |
| | | | Motor Effi | ciency | 91% | Motor HP | 100 | | | No VFD 100% | 6336 | 5760.7 | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | | | at 95% of max | 6019 | 6064 | 303 |
| | | | | | | Motor | VFD | Annual costs | | | | | |
| KW hr | KW | | \$/hr | Motor HP | | efficiency | Speed | | | at 90% of max | 5702 | 6401 | 640 |
| Annual | | | | Load | | | Reduction | | | | | | |
| | | VFD factor | | | | | | | | at 85% of max | 5386 | 6777 | 1017 |
| 425028 | 73.78 | | \$4.50 | 90 | | 919 | 6 0% | \$25,926.71 | Ú. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Note: | Actual annual co | st for 2019 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | is in the SRU tota | al billing | | |
| 396665 | 65.41 | 0.857375 | \$3.99 | 77.2 | | 889 | 6 5% | \$24,196.55 | 12 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | avg | |
| 356009 | 55.62 | 0.729 | \$3.39 | 65.6 | | 889 | 6 10% | \$21,716.57 | | | | hr/day | 18.57 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | at 15% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | reduction | |
| 317552 | 46.85 | 0.614125 | \$2.86 | 55.3 | | 889 | 6 15% | \$19,370.64 | 6 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | \$7.470.09 | Savinge | Dor your | At 5% rod | | |
| 40655 KM | f saved : | annually for | 5% aug cor | and reduction | | | | 52,475.56 | for aver | age rate | with VED | | |
| 40055 KW | saveu a | annuany for | The gall she | eeu reductio | Pump affi | nity laws use | for oneratio | an with VED | IOI aver | agenate | is a 1 | 10% annual cau | ings |
| | | | | | a mp am | and may get | a los operacio | | | | 13 0 1 | 10/0 dillingi 204 | 11B3 |

Energy use with decreased speed for centrifugal pumps







Hours operation and costs with decreased speed for centrifugal pumps



Waste Reduction Partners

Slow and Steady wins the race









Building Systems: LED Lighting Upgrades

75% wattage reduction possible

Lab/Office: 106 Watt 2'x4' fluorescent troffer to 26 Watt LED retrofit kit

0.08 kW saved x 3000 hours x \$0.089 per kWh = \$21 savings per fixture per year (\$40 Panel Duke rebate)

High Bay Lighting: 440 Watt Metal Halide to a 150 Watt LED

0.2 kW saved x 5000 hours/year x \$0.089 per kWh = \$89 savings per fixture per year (\$150 Duke rebate)

50% wattage reduction typical

Strip Fixtures Work Space: 32 W 4 ft. fluorescent lamp to 15 W LED 0.017 kW saved x 3000 hours x \$0.089 per kWh = \$5 per lamp per year (\$3 Duke rebate)

LED pricing can make simple payback in 2 to 5 years, less with rebates or higher use



Building Systems: Unit Electric Heaters

Commonly 5 KW or even 10 KW Manual controlled How many unit heaters do you have?

Cost to run one heater 24 hours:

5 kW x 24 hours x \$0.089 /kWh = \$10.68 (\$320/month) 10 kW x 24 hours x \$0.089/kWh= \$21.36 (\$640/month)

- Consider electric radiant (better w/ bay doors and high bay areas)
- Consider natural gas radiant heaters
- Consider the need for use to avoid freeze impacts
- Consider setting at 50 55 degrees



Unit Heater Impacts

Gravity Supplied water plant seasonal e nergy use

25 unitary electric fan heaters











Renewable Distributed Generation Town of Taylorsville: Solar Peak Shaving



Renewables: Anaerobic Digestion: "Renewable Natural Gas" Opportunities



Anaerobic Digesters



Floating Roof



Heater – Natural gas fired



Microbial Fuel Cell











Energy Management at OWASA

April 22, 2021





Carrboro-Chapel Hill's not-for-profit public service agency delivering high quality water, reclaimed water, and wastewater services.



WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT

Mason Farm Wastewater Treatment Plant

Reclaimed Water



Energy Management Plan Achievements



*Since 2010 Baseline

Mason Farm WWTP

Capacity: 14.5 MGD Annual Average: 8 MGD



Energy Use at Mason Farm WWTP



Energy Efficiency Upgrade: Aeration and Aeration Basin Mixing Process Equipment

Old System



Four NSL and Six East Aeration Cells

- Jet Mixing / Aeration Pod(s)
- Up to 1000 scfm / pod
- I4 HP pump(s) continuous operation

Six West Aeration Cells

- Jet Mixing / Aeration Header
- Up to 3000 scfm / header
- Two 50 HP pumps continuous operation

Two Aeration Cells – 5A / 5B

- Jet Mixing / Aeration Header
- Up to 1500 scfm / header
- 50 HP pump continuous operation

Multistage Centrifugal Blowers

- Three 3600 scfm 150 HP blowers
- Three 5600 scfm 250 HP blowers
- Use between 500-650 HP depending on time of the year





Energy Efficiency Upgrade: Aeration and Aeration Basin Mixing Process Equipment

New System

Four NSL Cells

- High Efficiency Mixer < 5 HP
- Aluminum Covers and Odor Control

Twelve Aeration Basin Cells

- Fine Bubble Diffusers 2000 or 3000 scfm
- High Efficiency Mixer < 5HP (standby)
- Aluminum Covers and Odor Control (6 cells)

Two Aeration Cells – 5A / 5B

- Fine Bubble Diffusers 1500 scfm
- Four High Efficiency Mixers < 3HP (standby)
- High Efficiency Blowers
 - Four 5000 scfm 250 HP blowers
 - One 5600 scfm 250 HP Multistage (backup)
- New SS Air Header, 3 Carbon Scrubbers





New Aeration System: Financial Impact

- Capital Costs: \$8 million
 - \$6.56 million, 20-Year, No-Interest Loan: NC Clean Water State Revolving Fund (Saved an estimated \$1.7 million over lifetime of loan)
 - Duke Energy Customer SmartSaver Incentive: \$168,000
- Estimated Energy Savings: \$220,000/year
- Realized Energy Savings: \$275,000/year



Pump Station Evaluations

Recommendations included:

- Speed adjustments
- Operating set points: (E.g. wet well levels)
- Simultaneous operation
- Pump replacement
- System modifications (e.g. hydropneumatic tanks, piping)



Energy-Minded Decision Making

- Extend backwash filter cycles and reduce air scouring frequency
- Optimize odor control system
- Online ORP/nitrate monitoring
- Phased HVAC upgrades
- Reduce I&I
- Pump station monitoring
- WWTP Master Plan



Energy Management Pyramid



Renewable Energy

Energy Efficiency

Energy Conservation/Optimization

Solar Leasing

- Public-private partnership
- 25-year term
- OWASA's lease payment is less than energy savings
- Down-payment covered by Duke Energy rebate
- System owned and operated with private partner



Progress Towards Goal: Solar Photovoltaics



kWh/year

Thank you



Mary Tiger mtiger@owasa.org



OWASA's Energy Management Program

Systematic identification, evaluation and pursuit of energy management opportunities

Energy and water conservation & process optimization

Energy-minded decision making

Investment in cost-effective energy management projects

Objective 2: Reduce use of purchased natural gas by 5% by the end of CY2020 compared to the CY2010 baseline.

Purchased Natural Gas, by Functional Area (2010 - 2020)





Resources to take the next step

- Duke Energy: Business Advisor Advisors and Large Account Rep (
- Dominion Energy: RNG Projects (Lee McElrath, Dominion Energy NC 828-230-7118)
- Your Local COOP/Municipal Utility Rep
- Your Peer Networks: PWOC-WEF
- Your Consulting Engineer
- State Grant Sources: Green Project Reserve
- Advanced Energy: Kitt Butler, <u>kbutler@advancedenergy.org</u>
- Energy Efficiency Assessment Providers
 - Waste Reduction Partners (serving all of NC)
 - Russ Jordan, Energy Manager, rjordan@wrpnc.org, (828) 251-7477
 - NC Rural Water Association (serving populations <10,000)
 - Natalie Narron, Energy Efficiency Circuit Rider, <u>natalienarron@ncrwa.org</u>, (336) 887-0741
- EPA: Brendan Held & Team





Business Energy Advisors Carolinas





Waste Reduction Partners – Energy Assessments

- Land of Sky's WRP program provides no-cost energy efficiency and waste assessments.
- <u>Clients:</u> Any water/wastewater plant, business or institution in NC.
- <u>The Team</u>: 40 staff and volunteer engineers (statewide)
- Past energy work with: Asheville Water Resources Department, Town of Salisbury, Town of Boone, Cape Fear Public Utility Authority, Kerr Lake, and others
- <u>Results:</u> –past 5 years: 275 clients served, \$16.4 million in utility cost savings, 130,000 MWh saved
- Initiate a Project: WasteReductionPartners.org or Russ Jordan rjordan@wrpnc.org









Thanks to following utilities for sharing demonstration information and photos.



Waste Reduction Partners

Acknowledgements



